FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review

### What is a Program Review?

This program review is a comprehensive study of the quality and cost effectiveness of a particular student and/or academic support service program. The purpose of Sauk's program review process is to promote continuous improvement and to link those improvements to other internal processes, including curriculum development, assessment, budgeting, facility planning, and to the strategic plan through operational plans. Information provided in program reviews will be used in internal reports, reports to other agencies, and for institutional planning. The program review for each area is conducted once every five years as dictated by a schedule created by the Illinois Community College Board (ICCB).

#### Why is a Program Review necessary?

ICCB requires all academic & cross-disciplinary programs and all student and academic support services to conduct a program review at least once every five years. The program review process should:

- 1. Examine the need for the program, its quality, and its cost of operation.
- 2. Involve employees of the unit as well as individuals not employed within the unit.
- 3. Examine current information and data on enrollment, persistence, retention, and other data.
- 4. Produce results that are considered in operational planning and budget allocation decisions. The College's annual required *Program Review Report* to the ICCB comes directly from the approved program reviews.

Also, as a part of accreditation, the Higher Learning Commission (HLC) requires institutions to have an established process to regularly review all programs. Each institution is allowed the latitude to develop and administer a review process that is suited to the institution's unique circumstances and needs.

	Timeline for the Program Review Process
Amil/Mary	Areas are informed that they are scheduled to conduct a program review in the
April/May	fall of the next academic year
	Optional "early start" is available to areas who want to get the Program Review
July-Early	process started sooner. Area leaders are designated Chair of their program
September	review team. A mandatory orientation will be scheduled and hosted by the
	Dean of Institutional Research and Planning (IR).
	Areas conduct their program reviews using this template. The Dean of IR is
Fall semester	always available to answer questions during the review process. Occasionally,
ran semester	rough drafts of the PR document will be requested by the Dean of IR for review
	to stay apprised of progress.
December 20	Program reviews and all other required forms are due. Area leaders are
December 20	responsible for having their Program Reviews submitted on-time or early.
	The college's Program Review Committee will evaluate area program reviews
Fall Semester-March	as they are submitted, request revisions, and approve final reviews. Finally, all
	program reviews must approved by the President.
	Equipment Requests, Personnel Change Requests, and Major Project Requests
April 1	from <u>approved</u> program reviews, will be forwarded for consideration using the
	budget allocation process.
Loto April	Cross-disciplinary areas will submit next year's operational plans, including
Late April	action items identified in the program review.

#### FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review

#### **Instructions**

- 1. The area will form a program review team comprised of 5 to 10 individuals <u>recommended</u> from the following groups:
  - 1. Area/department faculty or staff
  - 2. Other employees that are outside the department
  - 3. 1 or 2 students
  - 4. Community members and/or industry representatives who are not SVCC employees
- 2. The program review team will complete this template during the review process. Other formats will not be accepted.
- 3. All form areas/questions must be completed (unless specifically noted otherwise).
- 4. Resources needed before the Program Review process begins:
  - 1. Past Operational Plans for your area (last five years)
  - 2. Past Program Review for your area
  - 3. Current FY Operational Plan (they will be modified as the PR process occurs)
  - 4. Access to the College catalog (online)
- 5. The required ICCB form (found at the end of this template) MUST be completed for <u>each</u> degree or certificate being reviewed. It is only one page in length. Make copies of the form as needed and insert into this template.
- 6. The ICCB Best Practices Report is <u>optional</u> and may describe the entire unit or a specific practice. If you choose to complete this piece, you should discuss your best practice and supply evidence of its effectiveness.
- 7. Type the names of the program review team on the SIGNATURES AND APPROVAL page and have the team members sign it during your area's first meeting.
- 8. Submit the following by **December 20<sup>th</sup>** or earlier to the Dean of IR (Steve Nunez):
  - 1. An electronic version of the completed program review template. Do not create a printed copy of the document.
- 1. The current FY Operational Plan with Program Review modifications added.
- 2. The completed Signatures and Approval page
- 3. The approval process:
  - 1. Submission of the completed PR template to the Program Review Committee alone does not constitute approval.
  - 2. The Program Review Committee may request additional analysis, clarification, or information, and will not approve the review until it is satisfied that its requests have been addressed
  - 3. Reviews must be approved by the committee and the President *by April 1<sup>st</sup>* in order for requests to be forwarded for budgetary consideration. Reports submitted after December 20<sup>th</sup> may not be approved by the Program Review committee by the April 1<sup>st</sup> deadline which may jeopardize area budgets.

Data forms supplied to you for the Program Review include: DT1 (program income vs expenses), & DT2 (program staffing).

**QUESTIONS:** Contact the Program Review Committee Chair, Steve Nunez (ext. 263), with any questions regarding your program review.

FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review

#### Alignment with the College Mission

**College Mission** *Tells who we are as an institution and what we do* 

SAUK VALLEY COMMUNITY COLLEGE is an institution of higher education that provides quality learning opportunities to meet the diverse needs of its students and community.

**College Vision** Tells where we want to go as an institution

SAUK VALLEY COMMUNITY COLLEGE will be recognized as a benchmark institution of higher education that provides exceptional learning opportunities in response to the diverse needs of its students and community.

#### **Program Mission**

The Adult Education department strives to assist adults 17 years of age or older in acquiring knowledge and skills necessary to earn a GED®, to improve English language skills, and to transition to higher education or vocational training. This process encourages the adult learner to become more employable, to become a successful partner in their children's education, and to be a more productive member of the community.

#### PART 1: PROGRAM NEED & VIABILITY

The viability component focuses on quantitative analysis and the need for the program.

#### **ENROLLMENT & COMPLETIONS**

Cross-disciplinary areas differ from other instructional programs in the types of programs and services that are offered, the basis for determining success, and what is generated by enrollment. In this section you are to identify and insert into **TABLE 1**, the primary programs offered and the pertinent viability components. Note: You will track and report on the same items in future annual reviews and program reviews so it is best to have a plan to track the data.

- 1. Identify all of the primary programs of the cross-disciplinary area (i.e. ABE, ESL, customized training, public workshops, etc.) and replicate TABLE 1 (below) for <u>each</u> program.
- 2. What constitutes a successful student completion (i.e. passing grades, post-test scores, etc.)? In TABLE 1, replace *Successful Completions* with these terms. Add rows if there are multiple ways to determine successful completion.
- 3. What does the program generate (i.e. credit hours, units of instruction, income, etc.)? In TABLE 1, replace *Generation* with this term. Add rows if there are multiple items that are generated.

TABLE 1. Insert annual data to complete each table. Insert Data Table 1 (if applicable)

Attempte	d Hours				Area:	Adult E	ducation
Row		FY08	FY09	FY10	FY11	FY12	5 Year Total
	Totals for all	Programs i	n Discipli	ne			
a	Attempted hours	1,155.0	1,491.0	1,690.0	1,206.0	1,203.0	6,745.0

DATA	A TABLE 1: Course Enrollment		Disciplin	e Group:	Adult Education		
Row		FY08	FY09	FY10	FY11	FY12	5 Year Total
a	Total Sections Offered	178	183	178	148	82	769
b	Total Enrollment at 10th day	565	654	727	538	449	2933
b1	Single Grade Level Improvements	26	40	59	60	50	235
b2 c	Two or more Grade Level Improvements Average enrollment for all sections offered at 10th day	18	18	37	27	30	130 3.8
d	% of 10th day enrollment that raises singl grade level % of 10th day enrollment that raises 2+ grade	4.6%	6.1%	8.1%	11.2%	11.1%	8.0%
e	levels	3.2%	2.8%	5.1%	5.0%	6.7%	4.4%
f	Course	GSP 031	GSP 031	GSP 031	GSP 031	GSP 031	
g	Sections	17	22	17	16	0	72
h	Enrollment at 10th day	70	93	98	70	0	331
I j k	Average enrollment per section at 10th day	4.1	4.2	5.8	4.4	#DIV/0!	4.6
1	Course	GSP 032	GSP 032	GSP 032	GSP 032	GSP 032	
m	Sections	22	26	17	17	0	82
n	Enrollment at 10th day	68	73	74	50	0	265
o	Average enrollment per section at 10th day	3.1	2.8	4.4	2.9	#DIV/0!	3.2
p							
q			GSP	GSP	GSP	GSP	
r	Course	GSP 033	033	033	033	033	
S	Sections	9	9	12	10	0	40
t	Enrollment at 10th day	21	23	42	29	0	115
u	Average enrollment per section at 10th day	2.3	2.6	3.5	2.9	#DIV/0!	2.9

v							
W							
X	Course	GSP 035	GSP 035	GSP 035	GSP 035	GSP 035	
y	Sections	9	10	9	10	0	38
Z	Enrollment at 10th day	32	40	40	33	0	145
aa	Average enrollment per section at 10th day	3.6	4.0	4.4	3.3	#DIV/0!	3.8
ab							
ac							
ad	Course	GSP 041	GSP 041	GSP 041	GSP 041	GSP 041	
ae	Sections	5	1	0	0	12	18
af	Enrollment at 10th day	12	1	0	0	31	44
ag	Average enrollment per section at 10th day	2.4	1.0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	2.6	2.4
ah							
ai			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	·		
aj	Course	GSP 042	GSP 042	GSP 042	GSP 042	GSP 042	
ak	Sections	2	3	0	0	15	20
al	Enrollment at 10th day	7	8	0	0	68	83
am	Average enrollment per section at 10th day	3.5	2.7	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	4.5	4.2
an							
ao			,	,			
ap	Course	GSP 043	GSP 043	GSP 043	GSP 043	GSP 043	
aq	Sections	0	0	0	0	14	14
ar	Enrollment at 10th day	0	0	0	0	99	99
as	Average enrollment per section at 10th day	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	7.1	7.1
at							
au							
av	Course	GSP 051	GSP 051	GSP 051	GSP 051	GSP 051	
aw	Sections	0	0	0	0	1	1
ax	Enrollment at 10th day	0	0	0	0	6	6
ay	Average enrollment per section at 10th day	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	6.0	6.0
az							
ba							
bb	Course	GSP 060	GSP 060	GSP 060	GSP 060	GSP 060	
bc	Sections	5	15	18	12	0	50
bd	Enrollment at 10th day	9	52	69	56	0	186
be	Average enrollment per section at 10th day	1.8	3.5	3.8	4.7	#DIV/0!	3.7
bf							
bg							

1.1		CCD 0/1	GSP	GSP	GSP	GSP	
bh bi	Course Sections	GSP 061 1	061 0	061 0	061 0	061 0	1
bj	Enrollment at 10th day	3	0	0	0	0	1 3
bk	Average enrollment per section at 10th day	3.0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	3.0
bl	Average emonment per section at roth day	3.0	#D1 V/U!	#D1 V/U!	#DIV/0:	#DIV/0!	3.0
bm							
			GSP	GSP	GSP	GSP	
bn	Course	GSP 062	062	062	062	062	
bo	Sections	4	9	3	2	10	28
bp	Enrollment at 10th day	8	30	12	18	46	114
bq	Average enrollment per section at 10th day	2.0	3.3	4.0	9.0	4.6	4.1
br							
bs							
bt	Course	GSP 064	GSP 064	GSP 064	GSP 064	GSP 064	
bu	Sections	22	22	25	13	6	88
bv	Enrollment at 10th day	97	103	91	61	26	378
bw	Average enrollment per section at 10th day	4.4	4.7	3.6	4.7	4.3	4.3
bx	riverage emonment per section at roan day		,	2.0	,	1.5	1.5
by							
			GSP	GSP	GSP	GSP	
bz	Course	GSP 065	065	065	065	065	
ca	Sections	18	0	0	0	0	18
cb	Enrollment at 10th day	56	0	0	0	0	56
cc	Average enrollment per section at 10th day	3.1	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	3.1
cd							
ce							
cf	Course	GSP 066	GSP 066	GSP 066	GSP 066	GSP 066	
cg	Sections	6	9	9	6	7	37
ch	Enrollment at 10th day	16	34	28	24	40	142
ci	Average enrollment per section at 10th day	2.7	3.8	3.1	4.0	5.7	3.8
cj	per section at roal any	,	3.0				
ck							
-			GSP	GSP	GSP	GSP	
cl	Course	GSP 068	068	068	068	068	
cm	Sections	0	0	0	0	2	2
cn	Enrollment at 10th day	0	0	0	0	12	12
co	Average enrollment per section at 10th day	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	6.0	6.0
ср							
cq			·				
cr	Course	GSP 070	GSP 070	GSP 070	GSP 070	GSP 070	
cs	Sections	0	0	0	0	2	2

#### FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review

ct cu cv	Enrollment at 10th day  Average enrollment per section at 10th day	0 #DIV/0!	0 #DIV/0!	0 #DIV/0!	0 #DIV/0!	12 6.0	12 6.0
cw							
			GSP	GSP	GSP	GSP	
cx	Course	GSP 080	080	080	080	080	
cy	Sections	58	57	68	62	13	258
cz	Enrollment at 10th day	166	197	273	197	109	942
da	Average enrollment per section at 10th day	2.9	3.5	4.0	3.2	8.4	3.7
db							
dc							

Pre	ogram Name: Adul	t Education 1	Data: ESL, A	ABE, and AS	SE		
		FY08	FY09	FY10	FY11	FY12	Total
a	Enrollment	282	398	379	299	308	1666
b	Successful gains	45	66	97	87	71	366
c	Generation						
d	Income	\$244,224	\$243,397	\$237,513	\$244,768	\$249,150	\$1,219,052
e	Expenses	\$244,224	\$243,397	\$237,513	\$244,768	\$249,150	\$1,219,052
f	Net (income – expenses)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

1. For <u>each program</u> listed above describe the five-year enrollment trends. If possible explain the trends.

A transition in the area of adult education happened in December of 2010 when a new Director of Academic Development was hired. All information prior to this time period is unknown. There was no transition of systems, policies or procedures during this transition. The system for placing students into specified classes, outlines above, was not communicated to the newly hired staff (Adult Education Coordinator and Director of Academic Development).

Practices in the classroom did not align with catalog outlines. Upon observing courses in the spring of 2011, adult education instructors were responsible for teaching multi-leveled instruction and implementing integrated content; teaching 1st through 12<sup>th</sup> grade levels for English, writing, reading, math, history, and science. New outlines have been created to align the current class practices with updated course outlines. New course outlines have been created for seven classes, two classes will remain the same, and thirteen courses will be eliminated. Fluctuation in the number of students enrolled caused a reduction in the number of classes offered. New course outlines are expected to go to Curriculum Committee the spring of 2013.

### FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review

The student numbers in the table below are duplicated head counts. This means that a student may be counted multiple times in more than one category as they advance through the program.

Adult Basic Education and Adult Secondary Education Data

Beg Lit Beg Inter Low High  Total number of students served  Beg Lit Beg Inter Low High  Low High  42 46	ASE High 6
Total number 1 10 25 48 42 40 40 45 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 40 48% 42 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	.6
Total number 1 10 25 48 42 40 40 45 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 48% 42 40 40 40 48% 42 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	.6
Total number of students served  Percentage of students who made a level gain  State target goal  Total students served for all levels*  State target gains for the students of the students o	
of students served  Percentage of students who made a level gain State target goal  Total students served for all levels*  Served  100% 50% 24% 33.33% 40.48% 4.58  84 88	
served Percentage of students who made a level gain State target goal Total students served for all levels*  Served  Percentage of 100% 50% 24% 33.33% 40.48	3.48%
Percentage of students who made a level gain  State target goal  Total students served for all levels*  Level gains for 28  Solve 100% 50% 24% 33.33% 40.48%	3.48%
students who made a level gain  State target goal  Total students 84 served for all levels*  Level gains for 28	3.48%
made a level gain  State target goal  Total students served for all levels*  level gains for 28	
gain State target goal  Total students served for all levels*  Served gains for 28 37	
State target goal  Total students served for all levels*  level gains for 28 37	
State target goal  Total students served for all levels*  level gains for 28 37	
goal Total students served for all levels*  level gains for 28 37	
Total students 84 88 served for all levels*	
served for all levels*  level gains for 28 37	
levels* level gains for 28 37	
level gains for 28 37	
8 ABE & ASE	
O   ADE & ASE	
group	/
$\stackrel{\text{general Percentage of}}{\rightleftharpoons} Percentage of \qquad 33.3\% \qquad 42.0\%$	<b>O</b>
level gains for	
ABE & ASE	
□ group	
ABE ABE ABE ABE ASE A	ASE
Beg Lit Beg Inter Inter Low H	ligh
Low High	_
Total number 3 11 43 65 45 99	3
of students	
served	
	7.63%
students who	7.0570
made a level	
gain (200/ 220/ 220/ 220/ 220/ 220/ 220/ 220	20/
	3%
goal	
Total students 122 138	
5   10   10   10   10   10   10   10   1	
served for all	
served for all levels*	
served for all levels* level gains for 37  48	
served for all levels*  level gains for ABE & ASE	
served for all levels* level gains for 37  48	

	level gains for ABE & ASE group						
		ABE Beg Lit	ABE Beg	ABE Inter Low	ABE Inter High	ASE Low	ASE High
	Total number of students served	1	17	45	90	61	56
	Percentage of students who made a level gain	100%	58.82%	44.44%	43.33%	34.43%	42.86%
	State target goal	44%	46%	41%	34%	37%	28%
	Total students served for all levels*		1	53		1	17
ar 2010	level gains for ABE & ASE group			70			15
Fiscal Year 2010	Percentage of level gains for ABE & ASE group		45	.8%		38.	5%
		ABE	ABE	ABE	ABE	ASE	ASE
		Beg Lit	Beg	Inter Low	Inter High	Low	High
	Total number of students served	2	13	45	48	46	41
	Percentage of students who made a level gain	0%	30.77%	22.22%	20.83%	43.48%	39.02%
	State target goal	40%	38%	33%	29%	33%	31%
0111	Total students served for all levels*		1	08		8	37
Fiscal Year 201	level gains for ABE & ASE group		2	24		3	66
Fisce	Percentage of level gains for		22	.2%		41.	4%

### FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review

	BE & ASE oup						
		ABE Beg Lit	ABE Beg	ABE Inter Low	ABE Inter High	ASE Low	ASE High
of	tal number students ved	6	19	43	75	39	31
stu	rcentage of dents who de a level in	66.67%	42.11%	34.88%	30.67%	48.72%	41.94%
Sta	nte target al	45%	47%	44%	38%	39%	N/A
To	tal students		14	43		7	0'

level gains for 50 ABE & ASE group

Fiscal Year 2012 Percentage of level gains for ABE & ASE

group

Total students served for all

levels\*

English as a Second Language data

		ESL	ESL	ESL	ESL	ESL	ESL	
		Beg Lit	Low	High	Inter	Inter	Adv	
			Beg	Beg	Low	High		
	Total number of students served	9	12	19	9	14	12	
	Percentage of students who made a level gain	22.22%	41.67%	36.84%	55.56%	21.43%	8.33%	
	State target goal							
Fiscal Year 2008	Total students served for all levels*	75						
al Yea	level gains for ESL group			2	.3			
Fisce	Percentage of level gains for			30.	7%			
							D 1	

35%

32

45.7%

ESL group	

		ESL	ESL	ESL	ESL	ESL	ESL	
		Beg Lit	Low	High	Inter	Inter	Adv	
			Beg	Beg	Low	High		
	Total number of students	23	36	15	8	12	4	
	served							
	Percentage of students who made a level gain	39.13%	13.89%	26.67%	75%	16.67%	25%	
600	State target goal	50%	31%	42%	48%	32%	28%	
	Total students served for all levels*	98						
Fiscal Year 2009	level gains for ESL group		27					
al Y	Percentage of			27.	6%			
isc	level gains for							
H	ESL group							

		ESL	ESL	ESL	ESL	ESL	ESL	
		Beg Lit	Low	High	Inter	Inter	Adv	
			Beg	Beg	Low	High		
	Total number of students served	10	32	18	7	9	1	
	Percentage of students who made a level gain	40%	9.38%	22.22%	42.86%	22.22%	0%	
	State target goal	48%	34%	42%	49%	34%	29%	
Fiscal Year 2010	Total students served for all levels*	77						
al Yea	level gains for ESL group		16					
Fisce	Percentage of level gains for			20.	8%			

ESL group	

		ESL	ESL	ESL	ESL	ESL	ESL
		Beg Lit	Low	High	Inter	Inter	Adv
			Beg	Beg	Low	High	
	Total number	4	37	13	3	14	0
	of students						
	served	00/	10.020/	22.000/	22.220/	00/	
	Percentage of students who	0%	18.92%	23.08%	33.33%	0%	
	made a level						
	gain						
	State target	34%	25%	33%	36%	23%	15%
	goal						
	Total students	71					
	served for all						
0.01	levels*						
ar 2	level gains for			1	1		
/ea	ESL group						
Fiscal Year 201	Percentage of			15.	5%		
isc	level gains for						
Ŧ	ESL group						

		ESL	ESL	ESL	ESL	ESL	ESL	
		Beg Lit	Low	High	Inter	Inter	Adv	
			Beg	Beg	Low	High		
	Total number of students served	6	21	16	3	6	1	
	Percentage of students who made a level gain	33.33%	42.86%	31.25%	66.67%	33.33%	0%	
	State target goal	50%	37%	44%	50%	36%	30%	
Fiscal Year 2012	Total students served for all levels*		53					
al Yea	level gains for ESL group	20						
Fisca	Percentage of level gains for							

FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review

ESL group		

- \*Duplicated student counts Duplicated means the students is being counted in multiple areas. The most common examples of this are the students who have ESL class activities and class activities in ABE. When the information is presented as duplicated numbers, the students show up in both ESL and ABE. The same would be true for a student who begins in ABE and then moves into ASE.
- o As far as moving from a Duplicated count to an Unduplicated count of students, the system uses two different set of rules depending on whether the unduplicated count is for state purposes (i.e. the Program Status Report) or federal purposes (i.e. NRS Report). Here is how that breaks down:
  - For state reporting the student is classified in the instructional category where they earned the greatest number of attendance hours
  - For federal reporting the student is classified based on the assessment that was administered to the student that showed the lowest NRS level
- 2. For <u>each area</u> listed above, *describe* the five-year <u>successful completion trends</u> (students pass the class with A, B, C or P grades). If possible, *explain* the course completion trends.

The above charts show the number of students served and level growth for the last five years. The blue area indicated the State established target. The total number of students is a duplicated number meaning that a student is counted for all areas of participation. Several students participate in ESL classes and ABE classes.

Adult education does not award grades of A, B, or C. Leveled gains are measured by the percentage of students within each National Reporting System for Adult Education (NRS) level who gain to the next NRS level. Levels are established by ICCB. Students are considered successful if they obtain at least one level growth within a fiscal year based upon the description below.

#### Educational Functioning Level Descriptors - Adult Basic Education Levels

Literacy Level	Basic Reading and Writing	Numeracy Skills
<b>Beginning ABE Literacy</b>	Individual has no minimal reading	Individual has little or no
Test Benchmark:	and writing skills. May have little or	recognition of numbers or
TABE Reading or Math	no comprehension of how to print	simple counting skills or may
Grade Level Equivalency 0 - 1.9	and/or correspond to spoken language	have only minimal skills, such
Form 7/8 -	and may have difficulty using a	as the ability to add or subtract
Reading Scale Score 160-367,	writing instrument. At the upper	single digit numbers.
Math Scale Score 313 or below	range of this level, individual can	
Form 9/10 -	recognize, read and write letters and	
Reading Scale Score 367 or below,	numbers, but has a limited	
Math Scale Score 313 or below	understanding of connected prose and	
	may need frequent rereading. Can	

	T	1
	write a limited number of basic sight	
	words and familiar words and	
	phrases; may also be able to write	
	simple sentences or phrases,	
	including very simple messages. Can	
	write basic personal information.	
	Narrative writing is disorganized and	
	unclear; inconsistently uses simple	
	punctuation (e.g., periods, commas,	
	question marks); contains frequent	
	errors in spelling.	
<b>Beginning Basic Education</b>	Individual can read simple material	Individual can count, add and
Test Benchmark:	on familiar subjects and comprehend	subtract three digit numbers,
TABE Reading or Math	simple and compound sentences in	can perform multiplication
Grade Level Equivalency 2-3.9	single or linked paragraphs	through 12; can identify simple
Form 7/8 or 9/10 -	containing a familiar vocabulary; can	fractions and perform other
Reading Scale Score 368-460,	write simple notes and messages on	simple arithmetic operations.
Math Scale Score 314-441	familiar situations, but lacks clarity	
	and focus. Sentence structure lacks	
	variety, but shows some control of	
	basic grammar (e.g., present and past	
	tense), and consistent use of	
	punctuation (e.g., periods,	
	capitalization).	
<b>Low Intermediate Basic Education</b>	Individual can read text on familiar	Individual can perform with
Test Benchmark:	subjects that have simple and clear	high accuracy all four basic
TABE Reading or Math	underlying structure (e.g., clear main	math operations using whole
Grade Level Equivalency 4-5.9	idea, chronological order); can use	numbers up to three digits; can
Form 7/8 or 9/10 -	context to determine meaning; can	identify and use all basic
Reading Scale Score 461-517,	interpret actions required in specific	mathematical symbols.
Math Scale Score 442-505	written directions, can write simple	
	paragraphs with main idea and	
	supporting detail on familiar topics	
	(e.g., daily activities, personal issues)	
	by recombining learned vocabulary	
	and structures; can self and peer edit	
***	for spelling and punctuation errors.	X 1: :1 1
High Intermediate Basic	Individual is able to read simple	Individual can perform all four
Education Test Development	descriptions and narratives on	basic math operations with
Test Benchmark:	familiar subjects or from which new	whole numbers and fractions;
TABE Reading or Math	vocabulary can be determined by	can determine correct math
Grade Level Equivalency 6-8.9	context; can make some minimal	operations for solving narrative
Form 7/8 or 9/10 -	inferences about familiar texts and	math problems and can convert
Reading Scale Score 518-566,	compare and contrast information	fractions to decimals and
Math Scale Score 505-565	from such texts, but not consistently.	decimals to fractions; can
	The individual can write simple	perform basic operations on
	narrative descriptions and short	fractions.
	essays on familiar topics; has	
	consistent use of basic punctuation,	
	but makes grammatical errors with	
	complex structures.	

FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review

# Educational Functioning Level Descriptors - English-As-A-Second Language Levels

Literacy Level	Speaking and Listening	Basic Reading and Writing
Beginning ESL Literacy	Individual cannot speak or understand	Individual has no or minimal
Test Benchmark:	English, or understands only isolated	reading or writing skills in any
BEST Literacy	words or phrases.	language. May be able to
Scale Score 0-7	1	recognize and copy letter,
Reading and Writing SPL 0-1		numbers and a few words (e.g.
BEST Plus		own name). May have little
Scale Score 400 and below		or no comprehension or how
		print corresponds to spoken
		language and may have
		difficulty using a writing
		instrument.
Low Beginning ESL	Individuals can understand basic	Individual can read numbers
Test Benchmark:	greetings, simple phrases and	and letters and some common
BEST Literacy	commands. Can understand simple	sight words. May be able to
Scale Score 8-35	questions related to personal	sound out simple words. Can
Reading and Writing SPL 2	information, spoken slowly and with	read and write some familiar
BEST Plus	repetition. Understands a limited	words and phrases, but has
Scale Score 401-417	number of words related to	limited understanding of
Source Score To 1-T1 /	immediate needs and can respond	connected prose in English.
	with simple learned phrases to some	Can write basic personal
	common questions related to routine	information (e.g., name,
	survival situations. Speaks slowly and	address, telephone number) and
	with difficulty. Demonstrates little or	can complete simple forms that
	no control over grammar.	elicit this information.
High Daging ECI	Individual can understand common	Individual can read most sight
High Beginning ESL Test Benchmark	words, simple phrases, and sentences	· ·
		words, and many other common words. Can read
BEST Literacy Scale Score 36-46	containing familiar vocabulary,	
	spoken slowly with some repetition.	familiar phrases and simple
Reading and Writing SPL 3 BEST Plus	Individual can respond to simple	sentences but has a limited
	questions about personal everyday	understanding of connected
Scale Score 418-438 CELSA	activities, and can express immediate	prose and may need frequent
	needs, using simple learned phrases	re-reading. Individual can write
Raw Score 20-23	or short sentences. Shows limited	some simple sentences with
	control of grammar.	limited vocabulary. Meaning
		may be unclear. Writing shows
		very little control of basic
		grammar, capitalization and
		punctuation and has many
Y Y	Y 1: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	spelling errors.
Low Intermediate ESL	Individual expresses basic survival	Individual can read simple
Test Benchmark	needs and participates in some	material on familiar subjects
BEST Literacy	routine social conversations, although	and comprehend simple and
Scale Score 47-53	with some difficulty. Understands	compound sentences in single
Reading and Writing SPL 4	simple learned phrases easily and	or linked paragraphs containing
BEST Plus	some new phrases containing familiar	familiar vocabulary. Individual
Scale Score 439-472	vocabulary spoken slowly with	can write simple notes and
CELSA	repetition. Asks and responds to	messages on familiar situations
Raw Score 24-29	questions in familiar contexts. Has	but my lack variety in sentence
	some control of basic grammar.	structure, clarity and focus of
		writing. Shows some control of
		basic grammar (e.g., present
		and past tense) and spelling.
		Uses some punctuation
		consistently (e.g., periods,
		commas, questions marks,

## FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review

		capitalization, etc.)
High Intermediate ESL Test Benchmark BEST Literacy Scale Score 54-65 Reading and Writing SPL 5 BEST Plus Scale Score 473-506 CELSA Raw Score 30-41	Individual participates in conversation in familiar social situations. Communicates basic needs with some help and clarification. Understands learned phrases and new phrases containing familiar vocabulary. Attempts to use new language but may be hesitant and rely on descriptions and concrete terms. May have inconsistent control of more complex grammar.	Individual can read text on familiar subjects that have a simple and clear underlying structure (e.g., clear main idea, logical order). Can use word analysis skills and context clues to determine meaning with texts on familiar subjects. Individual can write simple paragraphs with main ideas and supporting details on familiar topics (e.g., daily activities, personal issues) by recombining learned vocabulary and structures. Can self- and peer-edit for spelling, grammar and punctuation errors.
Advanced ESL Test Benchmark BEST Literacy Scale Score 66-72 Reading and Writing SPL 6 BEST Plus Scale Score 507-540 CELSA Raw Score 42-70	Individual can understand and communicate in a variety of contexts related to daily life and work. Can understand and participate in conversation on a variety of everyday subjects, including some unfamiliar vocabulary, but may need repetition or rewording. Can clarify own or others' meaning by rewording. Can understand the main points of simple discussions and informational communication in familiar contexts. Shows some ability to go beyond learned patterns and construct new sentences. Shows control of basic grammar but has difficulty using more complex structures. Has some basic fluency of speech.	Individual can read moderately complex text related to life roles and descriptions and narratives from authentic materials on familiar subjects. Uses context and word analysis skills to understand vocabulary, and uses multiple strategies to understand predictions, and compare and contrast information in familiar texts. Individual can write multiparagraph text (e.g., organizes and develops ideas with clear introduction, body and conclusion), using some complex grammar and a variety of sentence structures. Makes some grammar and spelling errors. Uses a range of vocabulary.

## Educational Functioning Level Descriptors - Adult Secondary Levels

Literacy Level	Basic Reading and Writing	Numeracy Skills
Low Adult Secondary Education	Individual can comprehend	Individual can perform all
Test Benchmark:	expository writing and identify	basic math functions with
TABE Reading or Math	spelling, punctuation and	whole numbers, decimals
Grade Level Equivalency 9-10.9	grammatical errors; can comprehend	and fractions; can interpret
Form 7/8 or 9/10 -	a variety of materials such as	and solve simple algebraic
Reading Scale Score 567-595,	periodicals and non-technical journals	equations, tables and
Math Scale Score 566-594	on common topics; can comprehend	graphs and can develop own
	library reference materials and	tables and graphs; can use
	compose multi-paragraph essays; can	math in business
	listen to oral instructions and write an	transactions.
	accurate synthesis of them; can	
	identify the main idea in reading	
	selections and use a variety of context	
	issues to determine meaning. Writing	
	is organized and cohesive with few	

#### FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review

	mechanical errors; can write using a complex sentence structure; can write personal notes and letters that accurately reflect thoughts.	
High Adult Secondary Education	Individual can comprehend, explain	Individual can make
Test Benchmark:	and analyze information from a	mathematical estimates of
TABE Reading or Math	variety of literacy works, including	time and space and can apply
Grade Level Equivalency 11-12.9	primary source materials and	principles of geometry to
Form 7/8 - 9/10	professional journals; can use context	measure angles, lines and
Reading Scale Score 596+, or	cues and higher order processes to	surfaces; can also apply
Math Scale Score 595+	interpret meaning of written material.	trigonometric functions.
	Writing is cohesive with clearly	
	expressed ideas supported by relevant	
	detail; can use varied and complex	
	sentence structures with few	
	mechanical errors.	

3. For <u>each area</u> listed above, describe the efforts conducted since the last program review to improve completion trends. Indicate how frequently each effort was conducted during the past five years.

The Adult Education Department created a student-driven survey to assess students' needs in ABE, ESL and ASE classes (Adult Basic Education: ABE, Adult Secondary Education: ASE, English as a Second Language: ESL). Based upon information gathered the summer of 2012 from the Student Needs Survey, only one out of three students met their goal. This information influenced the decision to reduce the instructor responsibilities in the classroom. To reduce the responsibilities of the instructors, curricular units for specific instructional levels are being created. ABE instructions units are being created now which will provide resources and specific materials for students working at four different levels outlined above. To promote structured courses which meet the specific needs of students, course content benchmarks are being developed in the area of ESL, ABE, and ASE for all courses (four off-campus sites and one at SVCC). Each student will have a binder to document specific skills to master. The binder will serve as a portfolio to measure student growth, track student goals, and document skill levels. Student binders will be implemented fall of 2013

Sauk Valley Community College has established a Community Technology Center located on the first floor near the Adult Education offices, that is open to the public at least 12 hours a week. Participants in the Adult Education courses are encouraged to use the computers. This technology center will aid students when using online curricula for the ABE, ESL and ASE classes.

The adult education department has restructured the classes, implementing technology into the curriculum, and providing access to computers for learning at all classroom locations. Classes are Tuesdays, Wednesdays and Thursdays to encourage regular participation. Instructors are notified to assess students who have over 40 hours of instruction. Students' instructional hours are tracked weekly.

FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review

4. For each area listed above, describe what will be done to improve completion trends during the next five years.

Curriculum is continuously being developed to address the ever changing needs of the students. The classes are structured to have short instructional lectures throughout the class period with opportunity for small group instruction in different subject matters. Instructors base the instructional lectures on student observations, assignments, practice worksheets, and assessments given in class. Tutors are placed at different class sites to help in multiple academic areas. We are creating checklists for every subject area to assess mastery of objectives/benchmarks within specific academic areas.

Timeline for Curriculum Development

Participants: Janice Penning **Sherry Dimming** Odile Balazquez Jane Hamilton, and Karen Abele

Curriculum development every Tuesday from 12:30 – 2:30 starting the 15<sup>th</sup> of January and running through April 30<sup>th</sup>, 2013.

January 15<sup>th</sup> Overview and expectations

January 13 Overview and expectations

January 22<sup>nd</sup> four learning outcomes for: 7<sup>th</sup> – 9<sup>th</sup> Reading, Writing, and Math/ESL

4<sup>th</sup> – 6<sup>th</sup> Reading, Writing, and Math/ESL

2<sup>nd</sup> – 3<sup>rd</sup> Reading, Writing, and Math/ESL

0 – 1.9 Reading, Writing, and Math/ESL

January 29<sup>th</sup> continue working on learning outcomes

February 5<sup>th</sup> four authentic tasks for each learning outcome (authentic tasks must integrate technology, workforce – soft skills, career exploration, and study skills)

February 12<sup>th</sup> continue developing authentic tasks for each learning outcome

February 19<sup>th</sup> Identify list of skills for each authentic task

February 26<sup>th</sup> align Common Core Standards with listed skills

March 5th<sup>th</sup> Develop performance–based assessment tools for each authentic task

March 19<sup>th</sup> Continue development of performance-based assessment tools for each authentic task

March 26<sup>th</sup> & April 2<sup>nd</sup> Develop a Skills4Successful Learning 4 -6<sup>th</sup> grade learning project to include:

- Overview of project
- 5 inquiry-based lessons
- Independent learning assignments (5)
- Teacher Checkpoint (February)
- Outline for presentation
- Performance-based assessment tool and system to measure reading and writing growth April 9<sup>th</sup> & 16<sup>th</sup> Create materials for 3<sup>rd</sup> grade literature circles to include:
  - Job descriptions

#### FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review

- Select Text to be read,
- Develop questions based upon Common Core Professional Learning Series examples (<a href="http://isbe.net/common core/pls/default.htm">http://isbe.net/common core/pls/default.htm</a>) and
- Create performance-based assessment tool and system to measure reading and writing growth.

April 23<sup>rd</sup> Develop Plan for a Family Literacy nights beginning September of 2013 April 30<sup>th</sup> Complete any unfinished projects

# →Were the completion suggestions for each area added to the operational plan? X YES!

#### Marketing

Systematic efforts aimed at attracting students to the program.

5. Not including the catalog and program brochure, *describe* how the program has already been promoted and marketed *to increase program enrollment* during the past five years, and the frequency that each promotional or marketing activity that has been done. Examples included departmental website, high school visits by faculty/staff, community presentations, etc.

Updated courses descriptions fall 2012, created new brochures integrating Adult Education and VITAL services summer 2012, and an adult education representative presented to the following organizations:

- Sterling Optimist Club
- Dixon Council of Churches
- Dixon Rotary
- Whiteside Area Retired Teachers
- Whiteside Retirement Center
- Lee County Networking Council
- Dixon PADS Shelter
- SVCC F.U.S.E Program.
- Future Educators of SVCC

Advertisement has been publicized through the Midland States Bank Marquee, the Sauk Valley Sun and the Classifieds in the Sauk Valley Newspaper.

6. Describe how the program's faculty/staff can promote and market the program AND additional ways the college can market the program in the future to *increase program enrollment*.

Faculty can promote and market the program though providing instructional content that meets the adult population's needs. Student success within the program will encourage positive student conversations which will lead to commendations for others to attend and participate.

The Adult Education department has hosted a GED graduation celebration with recognition of level growth through presentations of certificates. June 2010 there were 75 people in attendance and June of 2011 over 100 people attended this event. This event takes place yearly.

FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review

Sauk is planning to host a GED fair beginning the spring of 2014 to increase community awareness of the free services offered through the Adult Education program. Through this event, the Adult Education department has the goal to obtain at least ten new students.

- $\rightarrow$ Was the PR/marketing coordinator contacted for suggestions?  $\square x$  YES!
- $\rightarrow$ Were the marketing suggestions added to the operational plan?  $\square x$  YES!

### PART 2: PROGRAM FINANCES & COST EFFECTIVENESS

**Insert Data Table 2** 

DAT	A TABLE 3: Expense Revenue	Discipline Group:	Adult Education				
Row		FY08	FY09	FY10	FY11	FY12	5 Year Total
a	Employee expense (Salaries & benefits)	218,089	219,223	190,968	152,335	139,996	920,611
b	Supply expense (Purchases charged to budget supply line & software purchases)	13,683	3,607	21,885	54,650	97,147	190,973
c	Equipment expense (Purchases charged to budget equipment line)	0	0	3880	0	0	3,880
d	Other expense (Any expense that does not fit into the above categories)	12,452	20,566	20,780	24,957	24,832	103,587
e	<b>Total expense</b> (Row $a + b + c + d$ )	244,224	243,397	237.513	231.942	261,975	1,219,051

Adult Ed + ESL expenses. Since this is grant funded income will always equal expenses

7. Using data table above, *describe* the five-year income and expense trends for each area. The Adult Education department is funded through four grants, one Federal grant and three state grants. Budgeting is based upon spending all funding provided through the grants. There is not an expectation for gaining revenue for the Adult Education program. There is a decline in employee expenses. Through monitoring classroom attendance records in Dec. 2010 it was observed that three off-site classrooms serviced less than 5 students on average. The low enrollment at these sites drove a need to assess classroom services and restructure programs to meet student needs. The decrease in salaries is due to a reduction in staff due to low enrollment at off-site classes. There has been an increase in expenditures in the area of technology due to the GED tests being offered online only by the 2014 fiscal year. The Adult Education department is focused on increasing services in the area of technology for students who struggle in the area of technology. There is a need to help students develop better technology skills in order meet the requirement of taking the GED test online by year 2014. To Service this need in the area of technology, the department purchased 10 laptop computers (June 2011). Two technology-based curriculum programs have been purchased to support learning while utilizing computers (MyFoundationsLab and Achieve 3000).

FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review

8. *Describe* what your area <u>did</u> during the previous five years to improve the program's financial viability.

Beginning in January 2011, data discussions between adult education staff and instructors became an ongoing part of yearly professional development meetings (three per year). These data discussions help to identify department strengths and weaknesses. Instructors are working to become aware of the level gains for their students. Adult education instructors are working to develop interventions to increase level growth. This process to integrate interventions to affect level growth is beginning a continuous improvement process. Adult Education instructors are working for 70% of their students, within their class, to make at least one level growth during the fiscal year.

9. Describe what your area <u>will</u> do over the next five years to improve the financial viability of the program.

The Adult Education department is working to gain students through implementing a Skills4Successful learning program which will be held on the First Tuesday of the month of September, October, November, February, March and April. This program will offer academic services to students working between the k-12 levels of instruction. Adults needing academic support can attend Adult Education classes while their child or children attend learning sessions aligned with their instructional level of learning. If the Adult Education department gains more students, there is the possibility for more grant funding.

$\rightarrow$ Was the	financial	viability	plan a	dded to	the area	a's Ope	rational	Plan?
$\square$ YES!								

### **PART 3: PROGRAM QUALITY**

The quality component focuses on qualitative analysis and issues

#### **Course Scheduling**

10. Use the Master Schedule to help you complete this table. Provide the program schedule by listing each course by course number and use an "X" to indicate each semester it is planned to be offered and whether the class was held at night, during the day, or online. (e.g., IF a course is scheduled at night AND day in the Fall semester, then use an X to mark each box.)

COURSE NUMBER	DAY (BEFORE 4 PM) NIGHT (ON OR AFTER 4 PM)	PREVIOUS FY: FALL SEMESTER	PREVIOUS FY: SPRING SEMESTER	CURRENT FY: FALL SEMESTER	CURRENT FY: SPRING SEMESTER
GSP 062	Day	X	X	X	X
	Night	X	X	X	X
	Online				

GSP 066	Day	X	X	X	X
	Night	X	X	X	X
	Online				
GSP 070	Day	X	X	X	X
	Night	X	X	X	X
	Online				
GSP 031	Day	X	X	X	X
	Night	X	X	X	X
	Online				
GSP 032	Day	X	X	X	X
	Night	X	X	X	X
	Online				
GSP 033	Day	X	X	X	X
	Night	X	X	X	X
	Online				
GSP 035	Day	X	X	X	X
	Night	X	X	X	X
	Online				
GSP 037	Day	X	X	X	X
	Night	X	X	X	X
	Online				
GSP 080	Day	X	X	X	X
	Night	X	X	X	X
	Online				
	Day				
	Night				
	Online				

<sup>\*</sup>If more space is needed, you can add more rows to this table by "right clicking" on the last row and "inserting rows."

#### FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review

11.	Use the table above to answer this question. For each degree and certificate under
	review, have courses been offered that are properly sequenced so a student could
	complete every degree and/or certificate in the number of semesters specified?

Х	Yes
	No

If no, please specify what degrees or certificates are problematic and the solution to the scheduling problem.

# $\rightarrow$ If changes are necessary to solve the scheduling problem was it added to the area's Operational Plan? $\square$ YES!

12. Use the table above to answer this question. Has each class been offered at **night** at least once during every academic year (fall or spring semesters)?

Χ	Yes
	No

If no, please specify what class has not been offered at night and justify if the class should or should not be offered at night.

# →If changes are necessary, was it added to the area's Operational Plan? □ YES!

13. Use the table above to answer this question. Has each class been offered **online** at least once during every academic year (fall or spring semesters)?

	Yes
X	No

If no, please specify what class has not been offered at night and justify if the class should or should not be offered at night.

Classes are offered at night. The summer of 2011 a GEDi hybrid course was tried. Eighteen students started the class but only four continued to complete the eight week course and zero continued independent participation (GEDi is a program with GED Modular lessons that students can complete independently with Internet access) in the fall semester. The adult education program is working to implement technology into the classroom setting. The belief being that through implementing technology within the content taught, the instructor will be able to provide support while the students are using technology resources. It is believed that adult education students lack the self-confidence while utilizing technology and more support is necessary at this time. The new curricular units are designed to scaffold (provide a large amount of support at the beginning but less as the student progresses) instructional support.

→If changes	are	necessary,	was	it	added	to	the	area'	s (	Operational	Plan?
☐ YES!											

#### FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review

14. During the past five years, have scheduling conflicts been avoided by coordinating schedules with other required courses within your own area?

X	Yes
	No

If no, what scheduling change can occur to reduce/avoid conflicts?

# $\rightarrow$ If scheduling changes are necessary, was it added to the area's Operational Plan? $\square$ YES!

During the past five years, have scheduling conflicts been avoided by coordinating schedules with other required courses <u>outside</u> of this area?

X	Yes
	No

If no, what scheduling changes can occur to reduce/avoid conflicts?

# →If scheduling changes are necessary, was it added to the area's Operational Plan?

☐ YES!

#### **CURRICULUM: COURSE OUTLINES**

16. ICCB requires that we maintain current articulation agreements. Therefore to help with this process, all course outlines for this area must be updated to the current Fiscal Year and a curriculum committee action form submitted for each course. Complete the appropriate Curriculum Committee Action Forms for each course and send electronically with this program review form. Action forms are found on FAST.

Course Number	Has the outline been updated to reflect current academic practices & current FY? Yes or No.	Has the Curriculum Committee Action Form been completed and sent electronically along with this program review? Yes or No.	If applicable, has an accompanying master syllabus been completed for each class and attached electronically along with this program review?
GSP 062	yes	yes	No – The new curricular units have a pacing guide which takes the place of a syllabus
GSP 066	yes	yes	No – The new curricular units have a pacing guide which takes the place of a syllabus
GSP 070	yes	yes	No – The new curricular units have a pacing guide which takes the place of a syllabus

FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review

GSP 031	yes	yes	No – The new curricular units have a pacing guide which takes the place of a syllabus
GSP 032	yes	yes	No – The new curricular units have a pacing guide which takes the place of a syllabus
GSP 033	yes	yes	No – The new curricular units have a pacing guide which takes the place of a syllabus
GSP 035	yes	yes	No – The new curricular units have a pacing guide which takes the place of a syllabus
GSP 037	yes	yes	No – The new curricular units have a pacing guide which takes the place of a syllabus

<sup>\*</sup>If more space is needed, you can add more rows to this table by "right clicking" and "inserting rows."

#### **CURRICULUM: ASSESSMENT**

Additional resources: Assessment Summary Reports and Operational Plans

- 17. If applicable, *list* all of the program/area objectives that have NOT been assessed in this five-year period and indicate whether these will be assessed, eliminated, or replaced, *OR* indicate "All have been assessed." If they were never assessed, explain why? In review of the adult Education operational plans for the past five years the mention of a retention plan in 2008 and incentive program in 2009 have been mentioned with no documentation of assessment. An incentive plan will be replaced with a new plan to be implemented fall 2013. No documentation of this past retention plan can be located so it will be eliminated. Retention patterns are reviewed yearly. Through development of new curricular materials it is expected that retention rates will increase. 2013 spring data will serve as a benchmark for measuring retention rates as it correlates to new curricular materials (new materials are to be implemented fall 2013).
- 18. If applicable, *describe* any major curricular changes ensuing from assessment, which were made during the past five years, and the positive and/or negative results of those changes, *OR* indicate "None."

None			
$\rightarrow$ <b>W</b> (	ere summaries of assess	ment activities placed wit	hin the Operational
	under Objective 1.1? □	-	•
19.	contributed data to at least of	ears previous to this program revone Gen-Ed competency? At least pliance and a "no" to indicate and a "no" to indicate and a "no" to indicate a "no" to in	ast one area objective? Use a
	Academic Year	Gen-Ed Competencies	Area Objectives
	(e.g., 2011-2012)	100% full-time compliance (Yes or No)	100% full-time compliance (Yes or No)
n/a		n/a	n/a
	added to the Operation  If applicable, for the five ye contributed data to at least of	ent goal of 100% compliant all Plan? The YES!  Ears previous to this program revone Gen-Ed competency? At least are contributing to academic	riew, have <u>adjunct faculty</u> ast one area objective?
	Academic Year	Gen-Ed Competencies	Area Objectives
	(e.g., 2011-2012)	(Indicate % of adjuncts)	(Indicate % of adjuncts)
n/a		n/a	n/a
		hod been established and	
Oper proce	ess?	e adjunct participation in	the academic assessment
	RICULUM: CURRICULA tional resources: Assessment	AR CHANGES  : Summary Reports & Operation	nal Plans
21.	years, and the positive and/o	lar changes (outside of assessme or negative results of those chan	

#### FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review

22. Describe possible changes in transfer requirements or content that may be imposed on the program during the next five years, OR indicate "None."
Weekly meetings between two developmental Education part-time faculty members and two part-time Adult Education instructors are working to create five units that will be utilized by Adult Education instructors during the fall 2013 semester. Yearly meeting to assess and modify (if necessary) the units will be ongoing

→If changes are necessary, was it added to the area's Operational Plan? □ YES!

23. *Describe* anticipated curricular changes that the department will propose during the next five years and the accompanying needs that will be required, or indicate "None."

FY OF CURRI CULAR CHAN GE	Curricular Changes	EQUIPMENT AND/OR SUPPLY NEEDS	FACILITY NEEDS	PERSONNEL AND/OR TRAINING NEEDS	ESTIMATED EXPENSE
	Four new units integrating technology are being created for ABE classes	5 new laptops, a computer cart and large cabinet have been purchased		Two developmental Education part-time faculty members and two part-time Adult Education instructors are working to create the units and will be paid a \$600 stipend (each)	\$2400 - all funding is coming through the grant and will cause no expense to the college.
		5 new laptops, one ipad with cellular services, a computer cart and large cabinet have been purchased Dec. 2012 for ASE class			\$2300 - all funding is coming through the grant and will cause no expense to the college.
		5 new laptops, one ipad with cellular service, a computer cart and large cabinet will be purchased Dec. 2013 for ESL			\$2300 - all funding is coming through the grant and will cause no expense to the college.

FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review

	-1		
	class		
	Class		

→If changes are necessary, was it added to the area's Operational Plan? x YES!

#### PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT & STAFFING

**Insert Data Table 3 here** 

Fiscal Year	Number of Active Instructors	Active Instructors with 6 or more hours of PD	Percent
2008	19	18	94.74%
2009	18	16	88.89%
2010	17	16	94.12%
2011	16	13	81.25%
2012	13	12	92.31%

24. Using the data table above, *describe* the current staffing trends in each area. Are they adequate and appropriate?

Staff was reduced to 7 instructors in 2011 due to low enrollment but is adequate at this time. One instructor retired in 2012 and two new instructors were hired to replace this instructor. The class size at this one location has grown from a class of 15 to a current population of 38 students enrolled as of January 2013. The Adult Education Department currently has 10 instructors and this number is appropriate for services provided.

25. If staffing changes are needed for this area within the next five years, please describe them along with a rationale or indicate "none." Indicate any planned retirements and any staffing needs to fill the retiree. Complete the *personnel request form* found within FAST.

Two new instructors have been hired and are training this semester to teach a new Knowledge and Skills workshops in the fall 2013 semester (these workshops will service students working between the 7<sup>th</sup> and 9<sup>th</sup> grade level of instruction). The Adult Education Department currently has 10 instructors and this number is appropriate for future services.

 $\rightarrow$ If staffing changes are necessary, was it added to the area's Operational Plan?  $\square$  YES!

26. *Describe* the types and quality of communication between full-time faculty and adjunct faculty (including dual credit faculty) in each area.

There are no full-time faculty members working in the adult education program as instructors. The adult education department creates a bi-monthly newsletter to communicate upcoming events, trainings, or meetings. Students' celebrations are listed and technology resources are shared.

The following is a list of the 2012 - 2013 professional development schedule: New teacher orientation August  $6^{th}$  5:00 – 6:00

#### FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review

Adult Education fall in-service August 6<sup>th</sup> 6:00 – 7:00 October 8<sup>th</sup> 5:30 – 7:30 Strategies to Standards December 3rd 5:30 – 7:30 Mastering Teaching/Holiday Gathering Adult education spring in-service January 7<sup>th</sup> 6:00 – 7:00 March 4<sup>th</sup> 5:30 – 7:30 Continuous Improvement Process Adult Education Graduation/Award Ceremony June 6<sup>th</sup> Program Evaluation meeting June 5<sup>th</sup>

27. How can the communication between full-time faculty and adjuncts (including dual credit faculty) be improved?

The Adult Education Department does not have any full-time faculty. During the three yearly Professional Development sessions there is the opportunity for collaboration and sharing teacher tips.

There are also monthly new teacher meetings. These meeting provide opportunities for mentors and new teachers to collaborate about policies, procedures, assessments, and expectations with the Director of Academic Development. As a part of the continuous improvement process, all adult education instructors will complete a self-assessment tool (self-assessment tool was created by the Illinois Adult Education and Family Literacy Service Center Network: <a href="http://www.siue.edu/SIPDC/PD/ABE">http://www.siue.edu/SIPDC/PD/ABE</a>-

<u>GED%20Professional%20Development%20Planner05.pdf</u>. The planning of future professional development topics will be based upon the results of the completed self-assessment tools (self-assessment tool to be implemented beginning spring 2013 and continued yearly).

→If changes are necessary,	was it added to	o the area's	Operational P	'lan?
□ VES!				

28. Has 100% of full-time faculty participated in some form of *professional development* during the past 5 years?

Yes
No

29. For <u>each</u> full-time employee, describe the anticipated professional development that he/she will participate in within the next 5 years?

Employee Name	Description of Anticipated Professional Development Activity	Fiscal Year of Activity
n/a		

FY 201	3: Cross-Disciplina	ry Five Year Program	Review		
	re the profession tional Plan? 🗆 Y	al development acti YES!	vities added to	the area's	
	professional develop professional develop	yees (including part-time ment within the next 5 ment, the year of anticiples fessional development.	years? If yes, then	summarize the spec	
	☐ Yes x No				
	pecialized profess Operational Pla	sional development n?   YES!	is necessary, w	vas it added to th	ne
Equip	ment and Supplies				
The ac	impact the program ( dult education departa- ter cart and two larg	iencies in equipment, so be as specific as possibment purchased 5 laptone cabinets. All equipme	le), <i>OR</i> indicate "I computers in Dec	None." cember (2012), one	•
→If e		ed immediately, wa	s it added to tl	ne area's Operat	tional
5 new purcha →If e	program within the r needed, and a rational associated with the c laptops, one ipad with ased Dec. 2013 for the	ed within the next	pply cost estimates indicate "None." d in prior section. mputer cart and lar	s, the anticipated fise Do not include item ge cabinet will be	cal year as
Defini outsid		es that are <i>specific to th</i> e. tutoring in the LAC,	<b>1</b>		

#### FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review

33. *Describe* the current services that are *specific to this program* that are available to students, *OR* indicate "None."

The director of Academic Development and the Learning Assistant Center (LAC) Coordinator are currently working to develop a system for servicing the needs of the adult learner. Specific tutoring techniques have been added to the Learning Assistant Center's orientation for new tutors.

The Adult Education department has opened a Community Learning Lab on the first floor for students working to accelerate their learning through educational supplemental services. The lab is open Tuesdays, Wednesdays, and Thursdays from 10:00-7:00. There is a master level teacher available to help with content area specific questions. Adult education students are registered to utilize the Pearson software program. This Community Learning Lab is to provide an open access learning environment for individuals who aspire to improve academically.

34. *Describe* any current gaps in the services that are *specific to this program* and identify possible solutions, *OR* indicate "None."

# $\rightarrow$ Were changes/additions in support services specific to your area included in the area's Operational Plan? X YES!

35. Describe any changes in the need for support services that are anticipated to occur during the next five years and the anticipated year it will be needed, **OR** indicate "None." none

 $\rightarrow$ Were changes/additions in support services specific to your area included in the area's Operational Plan?  $\square$  YES!

#### STUDENT INPUT

Definition: Efforts aimed at obtaining student opinions and suggestions for improving the program.

36. Describe the efforts to obtain student input, the frequency of each effort, what was learned, and changes that were made *OR* indicate "Not applicable."

Source of	Efforts to obtain student input, the frequency of each effort, what was
Input	learned, and changes that were made
Assessment Activities	The Adult Education department is required to assess all students utilizing the standardized assessments of TABE, BEST, or CASA. All students complete one of the three listed assessments as a pre-test to assess ability. Students are tracked by attendance hours and a post test is administered after 40 hours of instruction. All testing results are entered into a State-wide data assessment system called DAISI
Interviews	Teachers conference and interview each student twice a year to identify goals and track progress. Adult Education Administrators randomly select students to interview throughout the year.

FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review

Surveys	Each adult education student is asked to complete a needs assessment survey at least once a year.
Other	

37. Describe the efforts to obtain student input that **will be attempted** during the next five years and the years they will be attempted.

The Director of Academic Development plans to attend the yearly regional Adult Education meeting planned for each Dec.

The Director of Academic Development participates and chairs two yearly Area Planning Council meetings to collaborate with public school, organizational, and workforce leaders in the community. These meetings are to align community services and develop systems for sharing resources.

The Director of Academic Development plans to visit the Rochelle Community Learning Center to obtain information about how this community obtained \$435,000 for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century Community Learning Center Grant (March 2013).

 $\rightarrow$ Were the efforts to obtain student input added to the operational plan?  $x \square YES!$ 

#### NON-STUDENT INPUT

Definition: Formal efforts aimed at obtaining information regarding program content and improvement from informed sources other than students, for the purpose of keeping the program current and relevant (e.g. IAI, staying informed of changing transfer requirements, meeting with other departments, meeting with colleagues from other colleges).

38. *Describe* the efforts that <u>were</u> used within the last five years to obtain input, the frequency of each effort, what was learned, and changes that were made *OR* indicate "Not applicable. Your past operational plans may be of help here.

Method	Efforts to obtain input, the frequency of each effort, what was learned, and any program/area changes that were made	
Conference attendance	Adult Ed. Instructors:  Standards & Strategies Training – 10/18/12 & 10/19/12  2012 Northern Region Adult Education Fall Conference – 11/30/12  Kathleen Hughes:  Northern Area Joint Advisory Council Meeting – 9/25/12  Erika Anderson:	
	• 2012 Northern Region Adult Education Fall Conference – 11/30/12 <u>Lisa Tavitas:</u>	

FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review

	<ul> <li>2011 Northern Region Adult Education Fall Conference – 12/11</li> <li>2010 Northern Region Adult Education Fall Conference – 12/10</li> </ul>
IAI updates	
Networking with colleagues	Working with colleagues (Deb Endress: Jo Davies Regional Office of Education, Anji Garza: Lee/Ogle Regional Office of Education, Betty Clementz: Whiteside Regional Office of Education, and Cheryl Robinson: Sterling Curriculum Director) to align State Common Core Standards and Adult Education Standards. Met twice during the 2011 – 2012 school year and planned six Networking Sessions for area educators during the 2012 – 2013 school year.
Professional association membership	Illinois Reading Council
Other	

39. *Describe* the formal efforts to obtain non-student input that will be attempted during the next five years and planned year of implementation.

The Director of Academic Development plans to attend the yearly regional Adult Education meeting planned for each Dec. 40.

→Were the	efforts to	obtain	non-student	input adde	d to the	operational	plan?
□ YES!							

#### **Additional Information**

41. Use the space below to indicate any plans not carried out from the last program review and explain why OR put "none".

The last program review indicated the need for a part-time counselor and indicated that they would request to hire one by Summer 2009. This did not take place. Assessing program needs in the area of counseling is ongoing.

Promotional items (pens) were mentioned in the last program review. Funding from Federal and State sources has eliminated purchases of promotional items. In order to continue this activity, funding for promotional items will have to be found from other sources.

A learning community for students was documented but does not currently exist. This same concept of developing courses to support learning how to learn is the focus for the newly created Knowledge and Skills workshops to be implemented fall 2013.

FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review 42. Describe any possible changes (not already addressed) that may be imposed on your area or the College that will negatively or positively affect the efficiency of your area and the year of expected implementation. Examples may include changes in state or federal regulations, ICCB requirements, or a dramatic demographic change OR indicate "none." Describe the "imposed change" Fiscal Year change will take affect none 43. Referring to the question above, what strategies will the area implement to address any concerns? If no concerns, indicate "none." Describe the proposed strategies to deal with the issues above Fiscal Year of implementation none  $\rightarrow$ If applicable, were the strategies added to the Operational Plan?  $\square$  YES! 44. Use the space below to tell the PR committee about any program issue(s) not addressed within this program review or indicate "none". Indicate any possible solution to the program issue.

 $\rightarrow$ Were the solution(s) added to the Operational Plan?  $\square$  YES!

PROGRAM: Adult Education & ESL

none

FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review

## CROSS-DISCIPLINARY PROGRAM REVIEW SUMMARY REPORT

**Required ICCB Program Review Report** 

**Sauk Valley Community College** 

**Academic Year 2012 – 2013** 

Discipline Area	Adult Education Department
-----------------	----------------------------

**Need, cost-effectiveness & quality.** Please create a short summary paragraph for each question below.

<u>Need</u>: Is it expected that there will be a continuing need for courses in the academic discipline? Is the array of courses offered in the program appropriate to meet the needs of students and support academic programs? With 11% of the total adult population residing in the Sauk Valley area having no High School Diploma or equivalent (4% of that 11% have less than 9 grades of formal education) there continues to be a need for academic development to support educational needs. The adult education department works to support educational needs in the area of parental support for parents wanting to help their children with studies, knowledge and skills to retain a job, seek a gain in wages, or to gain a job if unemployed and information related to the process for gaining citizenship.

<u>Cost-effectiveness</u>: What steps can be taken to offer courses more cost effectively? Are there needs for additional resources? The adult education program currently receives funding from four separate grants and this funding provides wages for one full-time administrator (part-time adult education and part-time development education department), one part-time ESL Coordinator, one administrative assistant, one community technology monitor, three part-time coaches working within an open-access computer lab, and 10 adult education instructors. In the Sauk Valley area, 19% of families' incomes are below the poverty level; this is approximately 5% lower than the State average of 14%. With this high rate of lower income families within the Sauk Valley area, there is a need for free educational classes. All funding comes from State and Federal sources and must be spent or returned.

Quality: Based on the results of assessment and other information about courses and sequences of courses in the discipline, what steps need to be taken to update or improve instruction? Describe any programmatic achievements already achieved or are planned for the future. Professional development opportunities for instructors have been implemented for the 2012-2013 school year. Four instructors are developing authentic tasks and assessments at each level of instruction, which align to Common Core Standards (January – April). Individual student binders are being implemented to monitor student progress. Development of workshops for learners working at the instructional levels of K – 12 which will be shared with the community during six Family Learning Nights is a part of the Adult Education Operational Plan.

FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review

# BEST PRACTICES REPORT Optional ICCB Program Review Report

Sauk Valley Community College

**Academic Year 2012 – 2013** 

The ICCB Best Practices report is <u>optional</u> and may describe the entire unit or a specific practice. IF this piece is completed, discuss your best practice and supply quantitative and qualitative data as evidence of its effectiveness.

Title of Best Practice				
Programmatic Area (use an X to mark appropriate area)				
	Academic Discipline			
	Career and Technical Education			
	Cross-Disciplinary			
	Student & Academic Support Services			
Description of the innovation/best practice (150 word limit)  What is the quantitative and/or qualitative evidence to support the best practice?				
Conta	act Information			
Nam Phon	Valley Community College e & Title: e Number: il Address:			

FY 2013: Cross-Disciplinary Five Year Program Review

## **SIGNATURES and APPROVALS**

NAMES AND SIGNATURES OF THE PROGRAM REVIEW TEAM Add lines if needed				
Signatures indicate that team members cond	cur with the findings of the program review.			
NAMES (Indicate chair/co-chairs)	SIGNATURES			
Program Revi	EW COMMITTEE			
This Program Review is complete and acceptab	ole.			
This Program Review is complete but the concl	usions <i>are not</i> fully substantiated.			
This Program Review is incomplete and unacce	eptable.			
Comments are attached (optional)				
Program Review Committee Chair; Date				
Program Review Committee Co-Chair; Date				
ADMINISTRATIVE APPROVALS  Administrative signatures indicate an acceptance of the program review				
Dean or Program Administrator				
Academic Vice President				
President				